

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/688,170	10/16/2000		Guido Maurizio Oliva	3572-26	9474	
23117	23117 7590 02/09/2004			EXAMINER		
NIXON &	VANDE	RHYE, PC	LEE, DIANE I			
1100 N GLE	EBE ROA	D				
8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				2876		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/688,170	OLIVA, GUIDO MAURIZIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
D. I. Lee	2876		

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Exam	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
'	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	stensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee even filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 January 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
· (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🔲 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(C	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🔯 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🖾	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>35 and 36</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: 33 and 34.
	Claim(s) objected to: 24 and 28.
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-12,14-23,25-27 and 29-32</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.🛛	The drawing correction filed on <u>16 October 2000</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.🛛	Other: See Continuation Sheet
	D. I. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/688,170

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: i) The newly added limitations in the claims, i.e., two different surface portions which simultaneously collecting the emitted light beam and which simultaneously porjecting the two different light beam onto two different zones of the reading area, raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search;

ii) Claims 35 and 36 were newly presented without canceling a corresponding of finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Rudeen still meets the limitations as broadly set forth in the claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-23, 25-27, and 29-32 are remain rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection mailed on 14 July 2003..